

3. Miscellaneous: Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR), Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility expansion – 14-RFQ-25 – Utilities Department; and enter negotiations with the top-ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc., for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant expansion.



LEGISLATIVE MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

FROM: Scott Morgan, Interim City Manager

THRU: Gabriel Bowden, Utilities Director; George Barber, Chief Procurement Officer

DATE: April 3, 2025

RE: Miscellaneous: Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR), Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility expansion – 14-RFQ-25 – Utilities Department; and enter negotiations with the top-ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc., for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant expansion.

SUMMARY:

Background:

At the request of the Utilities Department, the Procurement Department solicited qualifications for the Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion. The intent of this solicitation is for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure. This initial construction phase is for the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant however, the intent is to negotiate future identified phases as an GMP (guaranteed maximum price) addendum under this contract. Please see the attached scope of work for phases and GMP addendum document.

Procurement Process:

A Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion was broadcast to 1634 Firms, advertised for fifty-three (53) calendar days, and forty-six (46) Firms downloaded the solicitation. A total of one (1) firm responded. Attached in the Project Executive Summary (Pages 3-5), you will find the Firm responses for the limited competition for this project. Though not required, the Procurement Department conducts outreach when one (1) submittal is received. The Procurement Department staff reviewed the submittal for responsiveness and was deemed responsible. On March 19, 2025, the Evaluation Selection Committee evaluated the qualification package to determine the highest ranked firm. The qualification package was evaluated by the Evaluation Committee that assigned points for non-price factors to include Firm's History and Project Team, Construction Management Approach, Qualification and Experience, and Qualifications of Proposed Staff. The scoring can be found in the attached Project Executive Summary (Page 12).

CMAR Delivery Method:

The Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) delivery method offers alternative advantages over the traditional design-bid-build approach. One of the primary benefits of CMAR is the reduced risk of change orders during the construction phase, largely because the Construction Manager (CM) collaborates closely with the project owner and the designers from an early stage, fostering a more integrated and well-coordinated project plan. This early involvement allows for more accurate cost estimates and project scopes, which are agreed upon before construction begins, thus minimizing the need for changes during construction. Change orders will still occur for unforeseen conditions and owner-directed changes. Furthermore, unlike the traditional method, which often selects contractors based solely on the lowest bid, CMAR allows project owners to choose a CM based on qualifications, experience, and the ability to manage risks effectively. This selection criteria tends to result in higher quality outcomes and better adherence to timelines, as the CM is contractually obligated to deliver the project within the guaranteed maximum price (GMP), thereby aligning the interests of the CM with those of the project owner. The GMP is a risk shift to the Contractor. In addition, CMAR also varies from a traditional design-bid-build in approach in that negotiations of the GMP only occur with one firm; that is, there is no resultant bid tabulation. As such, the initial GMP may be higher than an engineer's estimate, if the engineer's estimate did not factor in the CMAR delivery method.

Evaluation Selection Committee:

The evaluation selection committee included the following individuals:

- Assistant Parks and Facilities Director
- Utilities Engineer
- Project Manager

After rankings concluded, the highest ranked firm was Wharton-Smith, Inc. Staff is requesting approval to enter into negotiations with the top ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc. for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant. The negotiated GMP (guaranteed maximum price) for this phase and addendums for future phases will be presented to Council for approval. The estimated project cost for Phase 1 is \$60 million. The Department will be seeking council approval to secure bond funding for this phase at a future meeting.

REQUESTING DEPARTMENTS:

Utilities

Procurement

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

Legislative Memorandum

Page | 3

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds are available in the Utilities Department's Connection Fee Fund for CMAR services with Wharton Smith.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Motion to approve award of 14-RFQ-25, Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion and authorize to enter negotiations with the top ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc. for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant Expansion.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Scope Work - Phases
2. GMP Addendum
3. Project Executive Summary



LEGISLATIVE MEMORANDUM

TO: Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

FROM: Scott Morgan, Interim City Manager

THRU: Gabriel Bowden, Utilities Director; George Barber, Chief Procurement Officer

DATE: April 3, 2025

RE: Miscellaneous: Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR), Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility expansion – 14-RFQ-25 – Utilities Department; and enter negotiations with the top-ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc., for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant expansion.

SUMMARY:

Background:

At the request of the Utilities Department, the Procurement Department solicited qualifications for the Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion. The intent of this solicitation is for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure. This initial construction phase is for the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant however, the intent is to negotiate future identified phases as an GMP (guaranteed maximum price) addendum under this contract. Please see the attached scope of work for phases and GMP addendum document.

Procurement Process:

A Request for Qualifications (RFQ) for Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion was broadcast to 1634 Firms, advertised for fifty-three (53) calendar days, and forty-six (46) Firms downloaded the solicitation. A total of one (1) firm responded. Attached in the Project Executive Summary (Pages 3-5), you will find the Firm responses for the limited competition for this project. Though not required, the Procurement Department conducts outreach when one (1) submittal is received. The Procurement Department staff reviewed the submittal for responsiveness and was deemed responsible. On March 19, 2025, the Evaluation Selection Committee evaluated the qualification package to determine the highest ranked firm. The qualification package was evaluated by the Evaluation Committee that assigned points for non-price factors to include Firm's History and Project Team, Construction Management Approach, Qualification and Experience, and Qualifications of Proposed Staff. The scoring can be found in the attached Project Executive Summary (Page 12).

CMAR Delivery Method:

The Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) delivery method offers alternative advantages over the traditional design-bid-build approach. One of the primary benefits of CMAR is the reduced risk of change orders during the construction phase, largely because the Construction Manager (CM) collaborates closely with the project owner and the designers from an early stage, fostering a more integrated and well-coordinated project plan. This early involvement allows for more accurate cost estimates and project scopes, which are agreed upon before construction begins, thus minimizing the need for changes during construction. Change orders will still occur for unforeseen conditions and owner-directed changes. Furthermore, unlike the traditional method, which often selects contractors based solely on the lowest bid, CMAR allows project owners to choose a CM based on qualifications, experience, and the ability to manage risks effectively. This selection criteria tends to result in higher quality outcomes and better adherence to timelines, as the CM is contractually obligated to deliver the project within the guaranteed maximum price (GMP), thereby aligning the interests of the CM with those of the project owner. The GMP is a risk shift to the Contractor. In addition, CMAR also varies from a traditional design-bid-build in approach in that negotiations of the GMP only occur with one firm; that is, there is no resultant bid tabulation. As such, the initial GMP may be higher than an engineer's estimate, if the engineer's estimate did not factor in the CMAR delivery method.

Evaluation Selection Committee:

The evaluation selection committee included the following individuals:

- Assistant Parks and Facilities Director
- Utilities Engineer
- Project Manager

After rankings concluded, the highest ranked firm was Wharton-Smith, Inc. Staff is requesting approval to enter into negotiations with the top ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc. for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant. The negotiated GMP (guaranteed maximum price) for this phase and addendums for future phases will be presented to Council for approval. The estimated project cost for Phase 1 is \$60 million. The Department will be seeking council approval to secure bond funding for this phase at a future meeting.

REQUESTING DEPARTMENTS:

Utilities

Procurement

Honorable Mayor and Members of the City Council

Legislative Memorandum

Page | 3

FISCAL IMPACT:

Funds are available in the Utilities Department's Connection Fee Fund for CMAR services with Wharton Smith.

STAFF RECOMMENDATION:

Motion to approve award of 14-RFQ-25, Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion and authorize to enter negotiations with the top ranked firm, Wharton-Smith, Inc. for a Master Agreement and Phase 1 of the North Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant Expansion.

ATTACHMENTS:

1. Scope Work - Phases
2. GMP Addendum
3. Project Executive Summary



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Palm Bay, incorporated January 16, 1960, is a political subdivision of the State of Florida. The legislative branch of the City is composed of five elected Council Members, one of which is the Mayor. The City Manager is responsible for the execution of Council's established and adopted policy. The City, with a population of over 130,000 residents, is the largest incorporated area in Brevard County.

(Please visit our official website, www.palmbayflorida.org).

Introduction

The City of Palm Bay is seeking qualifications from Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) firms for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure.

Background

The City of Palm Bay operates a potable water treatment and distribution system serving approximately 42,945 connections and a wastewater collection and treatment system serving approximately 21,630 connections.

Water System Overview

- Two water treatment facilities (North Regional Water Treatment Plant and South Regional Water Treatment Plant).
- 41 source water wells from the surficial and Floridan aquifers.
- A repump station with on-site storage.
- An aquifer storage and recovery well.
- Approximately 690 miles of pipes ranging from 2 to 36 inches in diameter.

Wastewater System Overview

- Three wastewater treatment facilities.
- Two deep injection wells for effluent disposal.
- Reuse processing and pumping equipment.
- 235 miles of gravity sewer mains.

- 123 lift stations and 130 private lift stations.
- 103 miles of force mains.

Project Overview

The project includes the following phases:

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 1:

- Construction of a 3.3 MGD Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant.
- Installation of raw water lines from source wells to the plant.
- Site work and demolition of existing structures on the RO plant site.
- CMAR to review Tetra Tech's task order (provided as an attachment) and adjust to any amendments made by the City to the scope or design of Phase 1.

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 2:

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 3.3 MGD to 6.6 MGD.
- Extension or addition of raw water lines to support the expanded capacity.
- Addition of a Control Room, Office, and Bathrooms for operational staff.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 3:

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 6.6 MGD to 10 MGD.
- Installation of additional raw water infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.

South Regional Water Treatment Plant Expansion

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 8 MGD to 10 MGD.
- Installation of additional raw water infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

South Regional Water Reclamation Facility, Phase 1

- Expansion of the WRF plant capacity from 1 MGD to 2 MGD.
- Installation of additional infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

South Regional Water Reclamation Facility, Phase 2

- Expansion of the WRF plant capacity from 2 MGD to 3 MGD.
- Installation of additional infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.

- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

Site Work for Field Service Buildings

- Site work for two unrelated field service buildings.
- Work must be completed prior to the demolition of current buildings on the RO site.



PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The City of Palm Bay, incorporated January 16, 1960, is a political subdivision of the State of Florida. The legislative branch of the City is composed of five elected Council Members, one of which is the Mayor. The City Manager is responsible for the execution of Council's established and adopted policy. The City, with a population of over 130,000 residents, is the largest incorporated area in Brevard County.

(Please visit our official website, www.palmbayflorida.org).

Introduction

The City of Palm Bay is seeking qualifications from Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) firms for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure.

Background

The City of Palm Bay operates a potable water treatment and distribution system serving approximately 42,945 connections and a wastewater collection and treatment system serving approximately 21,630 connections.

Water System Overview

- Two water treatment facilities (North Regional Water Treatment Plant and South Regional Water Treatment Plant).
- 41 source water wells from the surficial and Floridan aquifers.
- A repump station with on-site storage.
- An aquifer storage and recovery well.
- Approximately 690 miles of pipes ranging from 2 to 36 inches in diameter.

Wastewater System Overview

- Three wastewater treatment facilities.
- Two deep injection wells for effluent disposal.
- Reuse processing and pumping equipment.
- 235 miles of gravity sewer mains.

- 123 lift stations and 130 private lift stations.
- 103 miles of force mains.

Project Overview

The project includes the following phases:

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 1:

- Construction of a 3.3 MGD Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant.
- Installation of raw water lines from source wells to the plant.
- Site work and demolition of existing structures on the RO plant site.
- CMAR to review Tetra Tech's task order (provided as an attachment) and adjust to any amendments made by the City to the scope or design of Phase 1.

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 2:

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 3.3 MGD to 6.6 MGD.
- Extension or addition of raw water lines to support the expanded capacity.
- Addition of a Control Room, Office, and Bathrooms for operational staff.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

North Reverse Osmosis, Phase 3:

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 6.6 MGD to 10 MGD.
- Installation of additional raw water infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.

South Regional Water Treatment Plant Expansion

- Expansion of the RO plant capacity from 8 MGD to 10 MGD.
- Installation of additional raw water infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

South Regional Water Reclamation Facility, Phase 1

- Expansion of the WRF plant capacity from 1 MGD to 2 MGD.
- Installation of additional infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.
- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

South Regional Water Reclamation Facility, Phase 2

- Expansion of the WRF plant capacity from 2 MGD to 3 MGD.
- Installation of additional infrastructure to support the expanded capacity.

- Coordination with the City's selected engineering firm for any additional amendments to the design.

Site Work for Field Service Buildings

- Site work for two unrelated field service buildings.
- Work must be completed prior to the demolition of current buildings on the RO site.

GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE (GMP) ADDENDUM

**to
AGREEMENT**

**for
CMAR – REVERSE OSMOSIS TREATMENT PLANT AND
RECLAMATION FACILITY EXPANSION**

THIS GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE (GMP) ADDENDUM TO THE AGREEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK SERVICES (“GMP Addendum”) is

made and entered into this __ day of _____, _____ (the “Effective Date”), by and between the City of Palm Bay, a Florida municipal corporation, referred to herein as “Owner”, and the firm of _____, incorporated in the State of _____ and registered and licensed to do business in the State of Florida, referred to herein as “Construction Manager”.

WHEREAS, Owner and Construction Manager entered into the Agreement for Construction Management at Risk Services (the “Agreement”) under which Construction Manager is providing the professional construction management services requisite to the implementation of the construction of Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion (as further defined in the Agreement, the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement provides that prior to commencement of the Construction Phase, Construction Manager and Owner must agree upon a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for the construction of the Project, to be established and memorialized in an addendum supplementing the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been designed and permitted to a point sufficient that Construction Manager and Owner have agreed upon a GMP, as set forth herein.

NOW THEREFORE, Owner and Construction Manager, in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, and the mutual covenants set forth in the Agreement, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, agree as follows:

1. Establishment of GMP. Pursuant to Article 5 of the Agreement, the Owner and Construction Manager establish a GMP and Contract Time for the Work as set forth below. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Contract Documents.

2. GMP; Contract Documents. The Construction Manager’s GMP for the Work, including the estimated Cost of the Work (\$ _____) and the Construction Manager’s Fee (_____), is a total of _____ dollars (\$ _____). This price is for the performance of the Work in accordance with the Contract Documents listed and attached to this Addendum and marked Exhibits A through J, as follows:
 - (a) Exhibit A. Project Plans and Specifications, addenda and General, Supplementary and other Conditions of the Agreement on which the GMP is based, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;

 - (b) Exhibit B. Allowance items, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;

- (c) Exhibit C. Assumptions and Clarifications made in preparing the GMP, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;
- (d) Exhibit D. Project Schedule, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;
- (e) Exhibit E. Alternate Prices, pages _____ through _____, dated _____; and
- (f) Exhibit F. Unit Prices, pages _____ through _____, dated _____.
- (g) Exhibit G. Affidavit of No Conflict;
- (h) Exhibit H. Certificate(s) of Insurance;
- (i) Exhibit I. Payment and Performance Bond;
- (j) Exhibit J. Standard Forms:
 - 1- Application for Payment
 - 2- Certificate of Substantial Completion
 - 3- Final Reconciliation/Warranty/Affidavit
 - 4- Change Order

Additional Contract Documents include the Agreement, Addenda issued prior to execution of the Agreement, the Request for Qualifications, the Construction Manager's Submittal, permits, notice of intent to award, Notice to Proceed, purchase order(s), written amendments, Change Order(s), Work Directive Change(s) and Field Directive(s). No other documents shall be considered Contract Documents. In case of conflict, the earliest listed document shall prevail over later listed documents.

1. Contract Time; Liquidated Damages. The Construction Manager shall achieve Substantial Completion of the entire Work within _____ days of receipt of the Notice to Proceed. Time is of the essence in the Contract Documents and all obligations thereunder. If the Construction Manager fails to achieve Substantial Completion of the Work within the Contract Time and as otherwise required by the Contract Documents, the Owner shall be entitled to retain or recover from the Construction Manager, as liquidated damages and not as a penalty, the sum of \$_____ per calendar day, commencing on the first day following expiration of the Contract Time and continuing until the actual date of Substantial Completion. Such liquidated damages are hereby agreed to be a reasonable estimate of damages the Owner will incur as a result of delayed completion of the Work. The Owner may deduct liquidated damages as described in this paragraph from any unpaid amounts then or thereafter due the Construction Manager under this Agreement. Any liquidated damages not so deducted from any unpaid amounts due the Construction Manager shall be payable to the Owner at the demand of the Owner, together with interest from the date of the demand at the maximum allowable rate.
2. Effect of GMP. This Addendum shall constitute the GMP Addendum for purpose of

satisfying the requirements of Article 5 of the Agreement and shall supplement and amend the Agreement such that all references to the GMP shall be construed to refer to the GMP set forth herein, as such GMP may be adjusted pursuant to the terms of the Agreement. All terms of the Agreement, as supplemented hereby, shall remain in full force and effect.

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this GMP Addendum to be duly executed by their authorized representatives:

NAME OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

Signature, Authorized Agent

Printed/Typed Name

Date

ATTEST:

CITY OF PALM BAY, FLORIDA

Terese Jones
City Clerk

George Barber, MPA, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB
Chief Procurement Officer

Date

GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE (GMP) ADDENDUM
to
AGREEMENT
for
CMAR – REVERSE OSMOSIS TREATMENT PLANT AND
RECLAMATION FACILITY EXPANSION

THIS GUARANTEED MAXIMUM PRICE (GMP) ADDENDUM TO THE AGREEMENT FOR CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK SERVICES (“GMP Addendum”) is made and entered into this __ day of _____, _____ (the “Effective Date”), by and between the City of Palm Bay, a Florida municipal corporation, referred to herein as “Owner”, and the firm of _____, incorporated in the State of _____ and registered and licensed to do business in the State of Florida, referred to herein as “Construction Manager”.

WHEREAS, Owner and Construction Manager entered into the Agreement for Construction Management at Risk Services (the “Agreement”) under which Construction Manager is providing the professional construction management services requisite to the implementation of the construction of Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion (as further defined in the Agreement, the “Project”); and

WHEREAS, the Agreement provides that prior to commencement of the Construction Phase, Construction Manager and Owner must agree upon a Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for the construction of the Project, to be established and memorialized in an addendum supplementing the Agreement; and

WHEREAS, the Project has been designed and permitted to a point sufficient that Construction Manager and Owner have agreed upon a GMP, as set forth herein.

NOW THEREFORE, Owner and Construction Manager, in consideration of the mutual covenants hereinafter set forth, and the mutual covenants set forth in the Agreement, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, agree as follows:

1. Establishment of GMP. Pursuant to Article 5 of the Agreement, the Owner and Construction Manager establish a GMP and Contract Time for the Work as set forth below. All capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the meanings set forth in the Contract Documents.
2. GMP; Contract Documents. The Construction Manager’s GMP for the Work, including the estimated Cost of the Work (\$ _____) and the Construction Manager’s Fee (_____), is a total of _____ dollars (\$ _____). This price is for the performance of the Work in accordance with the Contract Documents listed and attached to this Addendum and marked Exhibits A through J, as follows:
 - (a) Exhibit A. Project Plans and Specifications, addenda and General, Supplementary and other Conditions of the Agreement on which the GMP is based, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;
 - (b) Exhibit B. Allowance items, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;

- (c) Exhibit C. Assumptions and Clarifications made in preparing the GMP, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;
- (d) Exhibit D. Project Schedule, pages _____ through _____, dated _____;
- (e) Exhibit E. Alternate Prices, pages _____ through _____, dated _____; and
- (f) Exhibit F. Unit Prices, pages _____ through _____, dated _____.
- (g) Exhibit G. Affidavit of No Conflict;
- (h) Exhibit H. Certificate(s) of Insurance;
- (i) Exhibit I. Payment and Performance Bond;
- (j) Exhibit J. Standard Forms:
 - 1- Application for Payment
 - 2- Certificate of Substantial Completion
 - 3- Final Reconciliation/Warranty/Affidavit
 - 4- Change Order

Additional Contract Documents include the Agreement, Addenda issued prior to execution of the Agreement, the Request for Qualifications, the Construction Manager's Submittal, permits, notice of intent to award, Notice to Proceed, purchase order(s), written amendments, Change Order(s), Work Directive Change(s) and Field Directive(s). No other documents shall be considered Contract Documents. In case of conflict, the earliest listed document shall prevail over later listed documents.

1. Contract Time; Liquidated Damages. The Construction Manager shall achieve Substantial Completion of the entire Work within _____ days of receipt of the Notice to Proceed. Time is of the essence in the Contract Documents and all obligations thereunder. If the Construction Manager fails to achieve Substantial Completion of the Work within the Contract Time and as otherwise required by the Contract Documents, the Owner shall be entitled to retain or recover from the Construction Manager, as liquidated damages and not as a penalty, the sum of \$_____ per calendar day, commencing on the first day following expiration of the Contract Time and continuing until the actual date of Substantial Completion. Such liquidated damages are hereby agreed to be a reasonable estimate of damages the Owner will incur as a result of delayed completion of the Work. The Owner may deduct liquidated damages as described in this paragraph from any unpaid amounts then or thereafter due the Construction Manager under this Agreement. Any liquidated damages not so deducted from any unpaid amounts due the Construction Manager shall be payable to the Owner at the demand of the Owner, together with interest from the date of the demand at the maximum allowable rate.
2. Effect of GMP. This Addendum shall constitute the GMP Addendum for purpose of

satisfying the requirements of Article 5 of the Agreement and shall supplement and amend the Agreement such that all references to the GMP shall be construed to refer to the GMP set forth herein, as such GMP may be adjusted pursuant to the terms of the Agreement. All terms of the Agreement, as supplemented hereby, shall remain in full force and effect.

[Signature Page to Follow]

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the Parties hereto have caused this GMP Addendum to be duly executed by their authorized representatives:

NAME OF CONSTRUCTION MANAGER

Signature, Authorized Agent

Printed/Typed Name

Date

ATTEST:

CITY OF PALM BAY, FLORIDA

Terese Jones
City Clerk

George Barber, MPA, NIGP-CPP, CPPO, CPPB
Chief Procurement Officer

Date



14-RFQ-25 - CMAR – Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion

Project Overview

Project Details	
Reference ID	14-RFQ-25
Project Name	CMAR – Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion
Project Owner	George Barber
Project Type	RFSQ
Department	Utilities
Project Description	The City of Palm Bay is seeking qualifications from Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) firms for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure.
Open Date	Jan 06, 2025 5:30 PM EST
Close Date	Feb 25, 2025 9:00 AM EST

Highest Scoring Supplier	Score
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	80.33 pts



Seal status

Requested Information	Unsealed on	Unsealed by
Complete Submittal	Feb 25, 2025 3:28 PM EST	George Barber



Vendor Discussions

Adaptaspace

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:21 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Eugene Armbruster, Feb 25, 2025 1:46 PM EST

George, I did not respond as we are not a general contractor. I accessed the documentation to see if there was a control room within the facility as we manufacture specialized furniture for control rooms. I am assuming I would need to follow the project through construction and then determine if there is a need. Eugene Armbruster.

American Water Intelligence

Pre-qualification meeting follow-up

Ian Elkins, Jan 30, 2025 9:42 AM EST

Please provide a copy of the sign-in sheet or a list of the companies that attended the pre-qualification meeting held on January 28. Thank you.

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber



George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:20 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Ian Elkins, Feb 25, 2025 4:38 PM EST

Dear George We were following the project on behalf of clients, whom we made aware of the opportunity, although we were not in a position to submit a bid ourselves. Out of curiosity, I would be interested to know which companies submitted statements of qualifications by the deadline - can you share the list? Many thanks Ian Elkins

Archer Western

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:22 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Regina Ebersole, Feb 26, 2025 10:07 AM EST

We appreciated the opportunity to bid and hope we have an opportunity to work with the City in the future. When we saw the full scale of the scope in the procurement, we determined our resources right now just didn't align with the project schedule and had to unfortunately pass on this procurement.

Hedrick Brothers Construction

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any



reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:22 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Mel Thomas, Feb 25, 2025 2:00 PM EST

Hey George, We looked at this as an opportunity to team with a highly reputable WWTP contractor we know. We've been looking to partner on the building/administration side of these plants for some time. After reviewing the project and contract, our partner let us know they didn't wish to pursue this opportunity further which left us without WWTP experience and unable to compete. We are still very interested in having the City of Palm Bay as a client and will continue to watch for future opportunities to build for you. If you have any additional questions or would like to continue to chat about this one, please don't hesitate to reach out to me or our Space Coast Project Executive, Kurt Vierra. (kvierra@hedrickbrothers.com) Thanks again and talk soon, Mel Mel Thomas Client Executive, Space Coast mthomas@hedrickbrothers.com 321-474-2395

Trippensee Shaw, Inc.

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:20 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Lorri Anne Barnett, Feb 25, 2025 1:37 PM EST

We are a supplier and would work with the chosen CMAR.



Public Notices

Addendum No. 1

George Barber, Feb 10, 2025 4:38 PM EST

Please see Addendum No. 1

Pre-Qualification Meeting Attendance

George Barber, Feb 10, 2025 4:42 PM EST

Please see the below attendance list for the Pre-Qualification Meeting: Hedrick Brother Construction Wharton Smith Walsh Group Archer Western

Public Meeting Notice

George Barber, Mar 18, 2025 4:23 PM EDT

The evaluation team meeting notice is attached.



Approvals

Name of Approver	Name	Dept.	Status	Dates
Gabriel Bowden (gabriel.bowden@palmbayflorida.org)	Scope of Work - End User Review	Utilities	Approved	Sent: Dec 19, 2024 Responded: Dec 26, 2024 Required By: Dec 27, 2024
Grace Keller (grace.keller@palmbayflorida.org)	Legal Advertisement/Calendar Posting	Procurement	Approved	Sent: Jan 06, 2025 Responded: Jan 06, 2025 Required By: Jan 06, 2025
Cassandra Smith (Cassandra.Smith@palmbayflorida.org)	Legislative Memo - Department Review	Utilities	Needs Clarification	Sent: Mar 20, 2025 Responded: Mar 20, 2025 Required By: Mar 27, 2025
Gabriel Bowden (gabriel.bowden@palmbayflorida.org)	E-Team Members - Department Proposed E-Team Members	Utilities	Approved	Sent: Jan 06, 2025 Responded: Jan 08, 2025 Required By: Jan 07, 2025



<p>Grace Keller (grace.keller@palmbayflorida.org)</p>	<p>Evaluation Team Meeting - Public Notice - Update City Calendar</p>	<p>Procurement</p>	<p>Approved</p>	<p>Sent: Mar 10, 2025 Responded: Mar 11, 2025 Required By: Mar 11, 2025</p>
--	---	--------------------	-----------------	--



Submissions

Supplier	Date Submitted	Name	Email	Confirmation Code
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Feb 25, 2025 7:21 AM EST	Dawn Crary	investimating@whartonsmith.com	NTc3OTU5



Project Criteria

Criteria	Points	Description
Tab 1	Pass/Fail	Executive Summary
Tab 2	Pass/Fail	Table of Contents
Tab 3	25 pts	Firm's History and Project Team
Tab 4	20 pts	Construction Management Approach
Tab 5	40 pts	Qualification and Experience
Tab 6	15 pts	Qualifications of Proposed Staff
Tab 7	Pass/Fail	Litigation History
Tab 8	Pass/Fail	Verification of Bonding Capacity
Acknowledged Addenda	Pass/Fail	



Reference Form	Pass/Fail	
Florida Division of Corporations	Pass/Fail	
Sam.gov	Pass/Fail	
State of Florida Convicted/Suspended/Debarred Vendor List	Pass/Fail	
E-Verify	Pass/Fail	
Non-Collusion Affidavit	Pass/Fail	
Drug Free Workplace	Pass/Fail	
Insurance Requirements	Pass/Fail	
Human Trafficking Affidavit	Pass/Fail	
Total	100 pts	



Scoring Summary

Active Submissions

	Total	Tab 1	Tab 2	Tab 3	Tab 4
Supplier	/ 100 pts	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	/ 25 pts	/ 20 pts
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	80.33 pts	Pass	Pass	20 pts	14.67 pts

	Tab 5	Tab 6	Tab 7	Tab 8	Acknowledged Addenda
Supplier	/ 40 pts	/ 15 pts	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	34.67 pts	11 pts	Pass	Pass	Pass



	Reference Form	Florida Division of Corporations	Sam.gov	State of Florida Convicted/Suspended/Debarred Vendor List	E-Verify
Supplier	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

	Non-Collusion Affidavit	Drug Free Workplace	Insurance Requirements	Human Trafficking Affidavit
Supplier	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass



14-RFQ-25 - CMAR – Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion

Project Overview

Project Details	
Reference ID	14-RFQ-25
Project Name	CMAR – Reverse Osmosis Treatment Plant and Reclamation Facility Expansion
Project Owner	George Barber
Project Type	RFSQ
Department	Utilities
Project Description	The City of Palm Bay is seeking qualifications from Construction Manager at Risk (CMAR) firms for the phased construction of a Reverse Osmosis (RO) Water Treatment Plant, raw water lines, deep wells, and related infrastructure.
Open Date	Jan 06, 2025 5:30 PM EST
Close Date	Feb 25, 2025 9:00 AM EST

Highest Scoring Supplier	Score
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	80.33 pts



Seal status

Requested Information	Unsealed on	Unsealed by
Complete Submittal	Feb 25, 2025 3:28 PM EST	George Barber



Vendor Discussions

Adaptaspace

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:21 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Eugene Armbruster, Feb 25, 2025 1:46 PM EST

George, I did not respond as we are not a general contractor. I accessed the documentation to see if there was a control room within the facility as we manufacture specialized furniture for control rooms. I am assuming I would need to follow the project through construction and then determine if there is a need. Eugene Armbruster.

American Water Intelligence

Pre-qualification meeting follow-up

Ian Elkins, Jan 30, 2025 9:42 AM EST

Please provide a copy of the sign-in sheet or a list of the companies that attended the pre-qualification meeting held on January 28. Thank you.

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber



George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:20 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Ian Elkins, Feb 25, 2025 4:38 PM EST

Dear George We were following the project on behalf of clients, whom we made aware of the opportunity, although we were not in a position to submit a bid ourselves. Out of curiosity, I would be interested to know which companies submitted statements of qualifications by the deadline - can you share the list? Many thanks Ian Elkins

Archer Western

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:22 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Regina Ebersole, Feb 26, 2025 10:07 AM EST

We appreciated the opportunity to bid and hope we have an opportunity to work with the City in the future. When we saw the full scale of the scope in the procurement, we determined our resources right now just didn't align with the project schedule and had to unfortunately pass on this procurement.

Hedrick Brothers Construction

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any



reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:22 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Mel Thomas, Feb 25, 2025 2:00 PM EST

Hey George, We looked at this as an opportunity to team with a highly reputable WWTP contractor we know. We've been looking to partner on the building/administration side of these plants for some time. After reviewing the project and contract, our partner let us know they didn't wish to pursue this opportunity further which left us without WWTP experience and unable to compete. We are still very interested in having the City of Palm Bay as a client and will continue to watch for future opportunities to build for you. If you have any additional questions or would like to continue to chat about this one, please don't hesitate to reach out to me or our Space Coast Project Executive, Kurt Vierra. (kvierra@hedrickbrothers.com) Thanks again and talk soon, Mel Mel Thomas Client Executive, Space Coast mthomas@hedrickbrothers.com 321-474-2395

Trippensee Shaw, Inc.

Submittal Response

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:19 PM EST

Good afternoon! I'm inquiring about your Firm's decision not to participate in this project. Any reason, justification, or response will be helpful to the City. Thank you! George Barber

George Barber, Feb 25, 2025 1:20 PM EST

City of Palm Bay Florida has granted Vendor access to send responses in this conversation

Lorri Anne Barnett, Feb 25, 2025 1:37 PM EST

We are a supplier and would work with the chosen CMAR.



Public Notices

Addendum No. 1

George Barber, Feb 10, 2025 4:38 PM EST

Please see Addendum No. 1

Pre-Qualification Meeting Attendance

George Barber, Feb 10, 2025 4:42 PM EST

Please see the below attendance list for the Pre-Qualification Meeting: Hedrick Brother Construction Wharton Smith Walsh Group Archer Western

Public Meeting Notice

George Barber, Mar 18, 2025 4:23 PM EDT

The evaluation team meeting notice is attached.



Approvals

Name of Approver	Name	Dept.	Status	Dates
Gabriel Bowden (gabriel.bowden@palmbayflorida.org)	Scope of Work - End User Review	Utilities	Approved	Sent: Dec 19, 2024 Responded: Dec 26, 2024 Required By: Dec 27, 2024
Grace Keller (grace.keller@palmbayflorida.org)	Legal Advertisement/Calendar Posting	Procurement	Approved	Sent: Jan 06, 2025 Responded: Jan 06, 2025 Required By: Jan 06, 2025
Cassandra Smith (Cassandra.Smith@palmbayflorida.org)	Legislative Memo - Department Review	Utilities	Needs Clarification	Sent: Mar 20, 2025 Responded: Mar 20, 2025 Required By: Mar 27, 2025
Gabriel Bowden (gabriel.bowden@palmbayflorida.org)	E-Team Members - Department Proposed E-Team Members	Utilities	Approved	Sent: Jan 06, 2025 Responded: Jan 08, 2025 Required By: Jan 07, 2025



<p>Grace Keller (grace.keller@palmbayflorida.org)</p>	<p>Evaluation Team Meeting - Public Notice - Update City Calendar</p>	<p>Procurement</p>	<p>Approved</p>	<p>Sent: Mar 10, 2025 Responded: Mar 11, 2025 Required By: Mar 11, 2025</p>
--	---	--------------------	-----------------	--



Submissions

Supplier	Date Submitted	Name	Email	Confirmation Code
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Feb 25, 2025 7:21 AM EST	Dawn Crary	investimating@whartonsmith.com	NTc3OTU5



Project Criteria

Criteria	Points	Description
Tab 1	Pass/Fail	Executive Summary
Tab 2	Pass/Fail	Table of Contents
Tab 3	25 pts	Firm's History and Project Team
Tab 4	20 pts	Construction Management Approach
Tab 5	40 pts	Qualification and Experience
Tab 6	15 pts	Qualifications of Proposed Staff
Tab 7	Pass/Fail	Litigation History
Tab 8	Pass/Fail	Verification of Bonding Capacity
Acknowledged Addenda	Pass/Fail	



Reference Form	Pass/Fail	
Florida Division of Corporations	Pass/Fail	
Sam.gov	Pass/Fail	
State of Florida Convicted/Suspended/Debarred Vendor List	Pass/Fail	
E-Verify	Pass/Fail	
Non-Collusion Affidavit	Pass/Fail	
Drug Free Workplace	Pass/Fail	
Insurance Requirements	Pass/Fail	
Human Trafficking Affidavit	Pass/Fail	
Total	100 pts	



Scoring Summary

Active Submissions

	Total	Tab 1	Tab 2	Tab 3	Tab 4
Supplier	/ 100 pts	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	/ 25 pts	/ 20 pts
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	80.33 pts	Pass	Pass	20 pts	14.67 pts

	Tab 5	Tab 6	Tab 7	Tab 8	Acknowledged Addenda
Supplier	/ 40 pts	/ 15 pts	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	34.67 pts	11 pts	Pass	Pass	Pass



	Reference Form	Florida Division of Corporations	Sam.gov	State of Florida Convicted/Suspended/Debarred Vendor List	E-Verify
Supplier	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass

	Non-Collusion Affidavit	Drug Free Workplace	Insurance Requirements	Human Trafficking Affidavit
Supplier	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail	Pass/Fail
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass